

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/887,685	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DIACONESCU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Cynthia L Davis	<b>Art Unit</b> 2665	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Cynthia L Davis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) L. Anne Kinsman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2005
**Time:** Approx. 10:30 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 5. The word "type" ~~has been~~ would be deleted from claims 1 and 5.

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Ms. Kinsman authorized a proposed examiner's amendment, deleting the word "type" from the first line of claims 1 and 5, so as to avoid a 112 2<sup>nd</sup>, indefiniteness rejection (see Ex Parte Copenhaver, 109 USPQ 118 (Bd. App 1955)).

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)